Abstract: The ecological crisis - of which the Covid 19 pandemia is one of the symptoms - is already the most important social and political question of the 21st century, and will become even more so in the coming months and years. The future of the planet, and thus of humanity, will be decided in the coming decades. There is no solution to the ecological crisis within the framework of capitalism, a system entirely devoted to productivism, consumerism, the ferocious struggle for ‘market shares’, to capital accumulation and maximizing profits. Its intrinsically perverse logic inevitably leads to the break down of the ecological equilibrium and the destruction of the ecosystems.
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I. The COVID-19 pandemia is, according to the best specialists, a result of the invasion of the natural environment by modern agriculture, and the marketing of savage animal species. It is one of the multiple aspects of the ecological crisis, on a world scale. Globalisation, with the massive transport of individuals and commodities around the planet, produced the rapid expansion of the virus.

II. The ecological crisis is already the most important social and political question of the 21st century, and will become even more so in the coming months and years. The future of the planet, and thus of humanity, will be decided in the coming decades. Calculations by certain scientists as to scenarios for the year 2100 aren’t very useful for two reasons: 1) scientific: considering all the feedback effects impossible to calculate, it is very risky to make projections over a century; 2) political: at the end of the century, all of us, our children and grandchildren will be gone, so who cares?

III. As the IPCC explains, if the average temperature exceeds the pre-industrial periods by 1.5°, there is a risk of setting off an irreversible climate change process. The ecological crisis involves several facets, with hazardous consequences, but the climate question is doubtless the most dramatic threat. What would the consequences of this be? Just a few examples: the multiplication of megafires such as in Australia; the disappearance of rivers and the desertification of land areas, melting and dislocation of polar ice and raising the sea level, which could reach dozens of meters. Yet, at two meters vast regions of Bangladesh, India, and Thailand, as well as the major cities of human civilisation – Hong Kong, Calcutta, Venice, Amsterdam, Shanghai, London, New York, Rio – will have disappeared beneath the sea. How high can the temperature go? At what temperature will human life on this planet be threatened? No one has an answer to these questions.
These are risks of a catastrophe unprecedented in human history. One would have to go back to the Pliocene, some millions of years ago, to find climate conditions similar to what could become reality in the future, due to climate change. Most geologists consider that we have entered a new geological era, the Anthropocene, when conditions on the planet have been modified by human action? What action? Climate change began with the 18th Century Industrial Revolution, but it is after 1945, with neoliberal globalisation, that it took a qualitative leap. In other words, modern capitalist industrial civilisation is responsible for the accumulation of CO2 in the atmosphere, thus of global heating.

The capitalist system’s responsibility in the imminent catastrophe is widely recognised. Pope Francis, in his Encyclical _Laudato Si_, without uttering the word ‘capitalism’ spoke out against a structurally perverse system of commercial and property relations based exclusively on the ‘principle of profit maximization’ as responsible both for social injustice and destruction of our Common House, Nature. A slogan universally chanted the world over in ecological demonstrations is ‘Change the System, not the Climate!’ The attitude shown by the main representatives of this system, advocates of _business as usual_ – billionaires, bankers, ‘experts’, oligarchs, politicians – can be summed up by the phrase attributed to Louis XV: ‘After me, the deluge’.

The systemic nature of the problem is cruelly illustrated by governments’ behaviour. All, (with very rare exceptions) acting in the service of capital accumulation, multinationals, the fossil oligarchy, general commodification and free trade. Some of them – Donald Trump, Jair Bolsonaro, Scott Morrison (Australia) – are openly ecocidal and climate deniers. The other, ‘reasonable’ ones, set the tone at the annual COP (Conference of the Parties or Circuses Organised Periodically?) meetings, which feature vague ‘green’ rhetoric and total inertia. The most successful was COP 21, in Paris, which concluded with solemn promises from all governments taking part to reduce emissions – not kept, except by a few Pacific islands. Scientists calculate that even if they had been kept, the temperature would still rise up to 3.3° higher...

‘Green capitalism’, ‘carbon markets’, ‘compensation mechanisms, and other manipulations of the so-called ‘sustainable market economy’ have proven perfectly useless, while ‘greening’ goes on and on, emissions are skyrocketing and catastrophe gets closer and closer. There is no solution to the ecological crisis within the framework of capitalism, a system entirely devoted to productivism, consumerism, the ferocious struggle for ‘market shares’, to capital accumulation and maximizing profits. Its intrinsically perverse logic inevitably leads to the break down of the ecological equilibrium and the destruction of the ecosystems.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a significant decrease in production and transport of commodities. This reduced the carbon emissions, but only on a very limited scale. As soon as the epidemic is under control – thanks to the discovery of a vaccine – there will be an immediate return to “business as usual”. There should be no illusion that after the COVID-19 crisis “everything will be changed” and there will be no return to the previous situation.

The only effective alternatives, capable of avoiding catastrophe, are radical alternatives. ‘Radical’ means attacking the root of the evil. If the capitalist system is at the root, we need anti-system alternatives, i.e., anti-capitalist ones, such as eco-socialism, an ecological socialism up to the challenges of the 21st century. Other radical alternatives such as eco-feminism, social ecology (Murray Bookchin), André Gorz’s political ecology, or degrowth have much in common with eco-socialism: relations of reciprocal influence have developed in recent years.

What is socialism? For many Marxists, it is transformation of the relationships of production – by the collective appropriation of the means of production – to allow the free development of productive forces. Eco-socialism lays claim to Marx, but explicitly breaks with this approach and with the productivist and anti-ecological model of the so-called “really existing socialism” of Stalinist inspiration. Of course, collective ownership is indispensable, but the productive forces themselves must also be transformed: a) by changing their energy sources (renewables instead of fossil fuels); b) by reducing global energy consumption; c) by reducing production of goods (“degrowth”), and by eliminating useless activities (advertising) and harmful ones (pesticides, weapons of war, etc.); d) by putting a stop to planned obsolescence. Eco-socialism also involves transformation, after a process of democratic discussion, of consumption models, transport forms, urbanism and ‘ways of life.’ In short, it is much more than a change of property forms: it is a _civilisational change_ based on values of solidarity, democracy, equaliberty, and respect for nature. Eco-socialist civilisation breaks with productivism and consumerism, in favour of shorter working time, thus more free time devoted to social, political, recreational, artistic, erotic, and other activities. Marx referred to this goal by the term ‘realm of freedom’.

To achieve the transition towards eco-socialism, democratic planning is required, guided by two criteria: meeting actual needs, and respect for the ecological balance of the planet. The people themselves – once the onslaught of advertising and the consumption obsession created by the capitalist market are eliminated – will decide, democratically, what their real needs are. Eco-socialism is a wager on the democratic rationality of the popular classes.
XII. This requires a real social revolution. To carry out the ecosocialist project, partial reforms will not suffice. How can such a revolution be defined? We could refer to a note by Walter Benjamin, on the margins of his theses *On the concept of history* (1940): ‘Marx said that revolutions are the locomotive of world history. But things might work out otherwise. It is possible that revolutions are the act by which humans travelling in the train pull the emergency brakes’. Translation in 21st century terms: we are all passengers on a suicidal train, which is named Modern Industrial Capitalist Civilisation. This train is running towards a catastrophic abyss: climate change. Revolutionary action aims to halt it – before it is too late.

XIII. Eco-socialism is at once a project for the future and a strategy for the struggle here and now. There is no question of waiting for ‘the conditions to be ripe’. It is necessary to provoke convergence between social and ecological struggles and fight the most destructive initiatives by powers in the service of capital. This is what Naomi Klein called *Blockadia*. Within mobilisations of this type, an anti-capitalist consciousness and interest in eco-socialism can emerge during struggles. Proposals such as the Green New Deal are part of this struggle, in their radical forms, which require effectively renouncing fossil energies – but not in those limited to recycling ‘green capitalism’.

XIV. Who is the subject in this struggle? The workerist/industrialist dogmatism of the previous century is no longer current. The forces now at the forefront of the confrontation are youth, women, Indigenous people, and peasants. Women are very present in the formidable youth uprising launched by Greta Thunberg’s call – one of the great sources of hope for the future. As the eco-feminists explain to us, this massive women’s participation in the mobilisations comes from the fact that they are the first victims of the system’s damage to the environment. Unions are beginning here and there to also get involved. This is important, because, *in the final analysis, we can’t overcome the system without the active participation of urban and rural workers, who make up the majority of the population*. The first condition, in each movement, is associating ecological goals (closing coal mines or oil wells, or coal-fired power stations, etc.) with guaranteed employment for the workers involved.

XV. Do we have any chance of winning this battle, before it is too late? Unlike the so-called ‘collapsologists’ who clamorously proclaim that catastrophe is inevitable and that any resistance is futile, we think the future is open. There is no guarantee that this future will be eco-socialist: this is the object of a wager in the Pascalian sense, in which we commit all our forces, in a ‘labour for uncertainty’. But as Bertolt Brecht said, with grand and simple wisdom: “Those who fight may lose. Those who don’t fight have already lost.”